

Sharing-Aware Improvements in a Call-by-Need Functional Core Language

Manfred Schmidt-Schauß and David Sabel

Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Germany

IFL 2015, Koblenz, Germany

Reasoning on program transformations, like

```
map f (map q xs) \rightarrow map (\lambda x.f(q x)) xs
```
- Are transformations **optimizations** / **improvements**?
	- w.r.t. the resource consumption (time $/$ space)
	- we consider the **time consumption**, i.e. the number computation steps
- In a core language of Haskell:
	- extended polymorphically typed lambda calculus
	- with call-by-need evaluation

• [Moran & Sands, POPL'99];

Improvement theory in a call-by-need lambda calculus

- Counting based on an abstract machine semantics
- Untyped calculus with restricted syntax (arguments are variables) \bullet
- Tick-algebra for modular reasoning on improvements
- [Schmidt-Schauß &. S., PPDP'15]:

Improvement in the call-by-need lambda calculus LR

- Counting essential reduction steps of a small-step semantics
- Untyped core language with arbitrary arguments, seq-operator \bullet
- Common subexpression elimination is an improvement \bullet

- \bullet Improvements in a polymorphically-typed calculus (called LRP)
- Modular reasoning using sharing-decorations (extend Moran & Sands' tick algebra)
- Particular focus: Improvements for list expressions and functions
- **Proof techniques:** Induction schemes and simulation

Types:

$$
\tau \in \text{Typ} \qquad ::= a \mid (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \mid K \tau_1 \dots \tau_{\text{ar}(K)}
$$
\n
$$
\rho \in \text{PType} \qquad ::= \tau \mid \lambda a.\rho
$$

Expressions:

 $u \in PExpr_F$::= $\Lambda a_1 \ldots \Lambda a_k \ldotp \lambda x.s$ $s, t \in \mathit{Expr}_F :: = u$ $x :: \rho$ | $(s \tau)$ $(s t)$ $(\texttt{seq } s t)$ (letrec $x_1 :: \rho_1 = s_1, ..., x_n :: \rho_n = s_n$ in t) $\vert (c_{K,i} :: \tau \ s_1 \ \ldots \ s_{\text{ar}(c_{K,i})})$ $|$ (case_K s of $(\text{pat}_{K,1} \to t_1) \dots (\text{pat}_{K,|D_K|} \to t_{|D_K|})$) $pat_{K,i}$:::: $\tau x_1 :: \tau_1 ... x_{ar(c_{K,i})} :: \tau_{ar(c_{K,i})})$

The Calculus LRP: Operational Semantics

Normal Order Reduction $\xrightarrow{\text{LRP}}$

- Small-step reduction relation
- Call-by-need strategy using reduction contexts R
- Several reduction rules, e.g.

. . .

$$
(\text{beta}) \quad ((\lambda x.s) \ t) \to \text{letrec} \ x = t \ \text{in} \ s
$$

(cp-in) letrec $x_1 = (\lambda y.t), \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m$, Env in $C[x_m]$ \rightarrow letrec $x_1 = (\lambda y.t), \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m$, Env in $C[(\lambda y.t)]$

(seq-c) (seq $v(t) \rightarrow t$ if v is a value

- (case-c) case_K $(c t_1 ... t_n)...((c y_1 ... y_n) \to s)...$ \rightarrow letrec $\{y_i=t_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in s
- (llet-in) letrec Env_1 in (letrec Env_2 in r) \rightarrow letrec Env_1 , Env_2 in r

Convergence

A weak head normal form (WHNF) is

- a value: $\lambda x.s, \ \Lambda a.u, \text{ or } c\overrightarrow{s}.$
- letrec Env in v, where v is a value
- letrec $x_1 = c\overrightarrow{s}, \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m$, Env in x_m

Convergence:

- $s\downarrow t$ iff $s \xrightarrow{\text{LRP},*} t \wedge t$ is a WHNF
- $\bullet \; s \downarrow \text{ iff } \exists t : s \downarrow t.$

Contextual Equivalence

For $s, t :: \rho, s \sim_c t$ iff for all contexts $C[\cdot :: \rho] : C[s] \downarrow \iff C[t] \downarrow$

Program transformation P is correct iff $(s \xrightarrow{P} t \implies s \sim_c t)$

Counting Essential Reductions

$$
\texttt{rln}(t) := \begin{cases} \text{ number of (lbeta), (case), (seq)-reductions} \\ \text{in } t \xrightarrow{\text{LRP},*} t', \\ \infty, \end{cases} \text{ if } t \downarrow t' \text{ otherwise}
$$

Improvement Relation

For $s, t :: \rho$, s **improves** t (written $s \preceq t$) iff

- $s \sim ct$, and
- for all $C[\cdot :: \rho]$ s.t. $C[s], C[t]$ are closed: $\text{rln}(C[s]) \leq \text{rln}(C[t])$.

We write $s \approx t \iff s \leq t \land t \leq s$ (improvement equivalence)

Program transformation P is an improvement iff $s \xrightarrow{P} t \implies t \preceq s$

• for reasoning we want to express equations like

"s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t "

- **•** for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t "
- adding work: $\mathsf{rln}\text{-decorations}\ s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s\approx t^{\left[3\right] }$

- **•** for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t "
- adding work: $\mathsf{rln}\text{-decorations}\ s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s\approx t^{\left[3\right] }$
- **•** semantics of rln-decorations: they can be encoded

$$
s^{[0]} = s
$$

\n
$$
s^{[n]} = (id^n s) \text{ where } id^n = (id \dots id), \ id = \lambda x.x
$$

\n
$$
n \text{ times}
$$

- **•** for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t "
- adding work: $\mathsf{rln}\text{-decorations}\ s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s\approx t^{\left[3\right] }$
- **•** semantics of rln-decorations: they can be encoded

$$
s^{[0]} = s
$$

\n
$$
s^{[n]} = (id^n s) \text{ where } id^n = (id \dots id), \ id = \lambda x.x
$$

\n
$$
n \text{ times}
$$

• allows to locally evaluate and remove environments, e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y . y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq True (seq False Nil)}) & \approx & (\texttt{True}^{[1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2]}) \\ \texttt{in} & (x, y) & \end{array}
$$


```
letrec x = (\lambda y \cdot y) True,
                y = ({\tt seq}\;x\;({\tt seq}\;{\tt False}\;{\tt Nil})) \quad \approx \quad ({\tt True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, {\tt Nil}^{[2,a \mapsto 1]})in (x, y)
```
- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$
	- $=$ shared work of n steps between all $[1a \mapsto n]$ -labelled subexpressions

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y . y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq } x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx & (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2,a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} & (x,y) \end{array}
$$

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$
	- $=$ shared work of n steps between all $[1a \mapsto n]$ -labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[a \mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y . y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq } x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx & (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2,a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} & (x,y) \end{array}
$$

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$ $=$ shared work of n steps between all $[1a \mapsto n]$ -labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[a \mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$
- we use additional reduction rules, and counting:
	- $R[s^{[a \mapsto 0]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R[s]$ rln $(R[s]$ $\texttt{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}]) = \texttt{rln}(R[s])$ $R[s^{[a \mapsto n]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R'[s'^{[a \mapsto n-1]}]$ $\text{rln}(R[s$ $\mathsf{I}^{[a \mapsto n]}]) = 1 + \mathtt{rln}(R'[s'^{[a \mapsto n-1]}])$ R',s' are R,s where all $^{[a\mapsto n]}$ -decorations are replaced by $^{[a\mapsto n-1]}$

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y. y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq } x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx & (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2,a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} & (x,y) \end{array}
$$

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$ $=$ shared work of n steps between all $[1a \mapsto n]$ -labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[a \mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$
- we use additional reduction rules, and counting:

$$
\begin{aligned} R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}] &\xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R[s] &\text{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}]) = \text{rln}(R[s]) \\ R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}] &\xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}] &\text{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}]) = 1 + \text{rln}(R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}]) \\ R',s' &\text{ are } R,s \text{ where all } {}^{[a\mapsto n]} \text{-decorations are replaced by } {}^{[a\mapsto n-1]} \end{aligned}
$$

• we use shared work-decorations only in surface contexts (= hole not below a λ)

Context Lemma for Improvement

If for all reduction contexts R, s.t. $R[s], R[t]$ are closed: $rln(R[s]) \leq rln(R[t])$. Then $s \preceq t$

Proof Tools

Context Lemma for Improvement

If for all reduction contexts R, s.t. $R[s], R[t]$ are closed: $rln(R[s]) \leq rln(R[t])$. Then $s \preceq t$

Theorem [Schmidt-Schauß, S., Schütz, 2008] $\textbf{1} \text{ If } s \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}, \text{lbeta} \vee \text{case} \vee \text{seq}} t, \text{ then } s \approx t^{[1]}$

2 If $s \xrightarrow{C,a} t$ then

. . .

- if $a \in \{case, seq, lbeta\}: s \succ t$
- if $a \in \{llet, lapp, lcase, lseq, cp\}$: $s \approx t$
- if $a \in \{gc, cpx, cpa x, xch, cpc x, abs, lwas, ucp\}$: $s \approx t$.

 ${gc, cpx, cpx, xch, cpcx, abs, lwas, ucp}$ are small optimizations e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text{(gc)} & \text{letrec } \{x_i = s_i\}_{i=1}^n, Env \text{ in } t \to \text{letrec } Env \text{ in } t, \text{ if for all } i: x_i \notin FV(t, Env) \\ \text{(gc)} & \text{letrec } x_1 = s_1, \dots, x_n = s_n \text{ in } t \to t, \text{ if for all } i: x_i \notin FV(t) \\ \text{(ucpl) letrec } Env, x = t \text{ in } S[x] \to \text{letrec } Env \text{ in } S[t] \\ \text{where } x \notin FV(S, Env, t, r) \text{ and } S \text{ is a surface context}\end{array}
$$

Proposition

Let S be a surface context, then for all expressions s

• For rln-decorations:

$$
\bullet \ (s^{[k_1]})^{[k_2]} \approx s^{[k_1+k_2]}
$$

- $s^{[0]} \approx s$
- $S[s^{[k]}] \approx S[s]^{[k]}$, if S is strict $(S[\bot] \sim_c \bot)$
- $S[s^{[k]}]\preceq S[s]^{[k]}$
- For sharing-decorations:

\n- \n
$$
(s^{[a\mapsto n]})^{[a\mapsto n]} \approx s^{[a\mapsto n]}
$$
\n
\n- \n $S[s^{[a\mapsto n]}] \approx S[s]^{[a\mapsto n]}$, if S is strict $(S[\perp] \sim_c \perp)$ \n
\n- \n $S[s^{[a\mapsto n]}] \preceq S[s]^{[a\mapsto n]}$ \n
\n- \n $S[s^{[a\mapsto m]}_1, \ldots, s^{[a\mapsto m]}_n] \approx S[s_1, \ldots, s_n]^{[m]}$ if some hole is strict.\n
\n- \n $S[s^{[a\mapsto m]}_1, \ldots, s^{[a\mapsto m]}_n] \preceq S[s_1, \ldots, s_n]^{[m]}$ \n
\n

Theorem (An Induction Scheme)

Let S_1, S_2 be surface contexts and

- $S_1[x] \sim_c S_2[x]$ for a fresh variable x
- \bullet $S_1[\perp] \preceq S_2[\perp]$
- $S_1[\texttt{Nil}] \approx r^{[m]}$ and $S_2[\texttt{Nil}] \approx r^{[m']}$ with $m \leq m'$

• For fresh variables x and xs :

\n- \n
$$
(S_1[x:xs]) \approx (x: S_1[xs])^{[m]}
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
(S_2[x:xs]) \approx (x: S_2[xs])^{[m']}
$$
\n
\n

with $m \leq m'$.

Then for all expressions s :

$$
letrec x = s in S1[x] \preceq letrec x = s in S2[x].
$$

Let
$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec}(++) = \lambda xs, ys.(\text{case}_{List} xs \text{ of } (\text{Nil} \rightarrow ys) \\
(z:zs) \rightarrow z: ((++) zs ys)))\n \text{in } [\cdot]
$$

Proposition

$$
\mathbb{L}[(xs + (ys + zs))] \preceq \mathbb{L}[((xs + ts) + zs)]
$$

Use $S_1 := \mathbb{L}[([\cdot] + (\sqrt{ys} + \sqrt{zs}))]$ and $S_2 = \mathbb{L}[((\cdot] + \sqrt{ys}) + \sqrt{zs})]$, and apply the induction scheme:

- $S_1[x] \sim_c S_2[x]$ (by standard inductive reasoning on \sim_c .)
- \bullet S₁[⊥] \sim_c ⊥ \sim_c S₂[⊥]
- $S_1[\texttt{Nil}] \approx (ys + \!\!\!+ \!\!\;zs)^{[3]}$ and $S_2[\texttt{Nil}] \approx (ys + \!\!\!+ \!\!\;zs)^{[3]}$
- $S_1[x:xs] \approx (x:S_1[xs])^{[3]}$ and $S_2[x:xs] \approx (x:S_2[xs])^{[6]}.$

Simulation $\mathcal{F}_h \subseteq \{(s, t) | s, t \text{ are closed}, s, t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

1 If $s \sim_c 1 \sim_c t$, then $s \subset_h t$.

Simulation $\mathcal{F}_h \subseteq \{(s, t) | s, t \text{ are closed}, s, t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

- **1** If $s \sim_c 1 \sim_c t$, then $s \subset_h t$.
- **2** If $s \approx$ Nil $^{[m]}$, $t \approx$ Nil $^{[m']}$ and $m \leq m'$, then $s \ \sqsubseteq_h \ t$.

Simulation $\mathcal{F}_h \subseteq \{(s, t) | s, t \text{ are closed}, s, t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

1 If $s \sim_c 1 \sim_c t$, then $s \subset_h t$. **2** If $s \approx$ Nil $^{[m]}$, $t \approx$ Nil $^{[m']}$ and $m \leq m'$, then $s \ \sqsubseteq_h \ t$. 3 If $s \preceq (s_1^{[m_1, a \mapsto m_2]}$ $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m_1, a\mapsto m_2 \ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$: $s_2^{[m_3]}$ $\binom{[m_3]}{2}$ $\binom{[m'_1, a \mapsto m'_2]}{2}$ $\frac{[m'_1, a \mapsto m'_2]}{1}$: $t_2^{[m'_3]}$ $\binom{\lfloor m'_3\rfloor}{2}$ $\lfloor m'_4\rfloor$ \preceq t , where $m_i \leq m'_i$ • $s_1 \prec t_1$ where s_1, t_1 are decoration-free, and \bullet s₂ \sqsubset _h t₂ \bullet s_2, t_2 may contain further sharing decorations. Then $s \sqsubset_h t$.

Simulation $\mathcal{F}_h \subseteq \{(s, t) | s, t \text{ are closed}, s, t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

\n- \n ① If
$$
s \sim_c \bot \sim_c t
$$
, then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.\n
\n- \n ② If $s \approx \text{Nil}^{[m]}$, $t \approx \text{Nil}^{[m']}$ and $m \leq m'$, then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.\n
\n- \n ③ If $s \preceq (s_1^{[m_1, a \mapsto m_2]} : s_2^{[m_3]})^{[m_4]}$ and $(t_1^{[m'_1, a \mapsto m'_2]} : t_2^{[m'_3]})^{[m'_4]} \preceq t$, where\n
\n- \n ⑦ $m_i \leq m'_i$ \n
\n- \n ③ $s_1 \preceq t_1$ where s_1, t_1 are decoration-free, and\n
\n- \n ③ $s_2 \sqsubseteq_h t_2$ \n
\n- \n ② s_2, t_2 may contain further sharing decorations. Then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.\n
\n

Theorem

If $s \sqsubseteq_h t$, then also $s \preceq t$.

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$

from2 = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) in y : (\text{from2 } y))
in [·]
Let n_i denote the i^{th} number and + be strict addition s.t. $\text{rln}(n_i+n_j) = 4$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

We show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \; \sqsubseteq_h \; \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \; n_i]$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}]: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}])^{[1]}$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\texttt{a}\mapsto4] : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\texttt{a}\mapsto4]])^{[1]}$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}]: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}])^{[1]}$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \preceq L[from2 n_i]

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}]: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}])^{[1]}$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \prec L[from2 n_i]

We show $\mathbb{L}[\text{from1 } n_{i+1}] \subseteq_h \mathbb{L}[\text{from2 } n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}]: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}])^{[1]}$

It remains to show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}] \; \sqsubseteq_h \; \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \; n_{i+1}^{[a \mapsto 4]}]$

$$
\mathbb{L} := \text{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\text{from1 } (x+1)))
$$
\n
$$
\text{from2} = \lambda x.(\text{letrec } y = (x+1) \text{ in } y : (\text{from2 } y))
$$
\n
$$
\text{in } [\cdot]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Let } n_i \text{ denote the } i^{th} \text{ number and } + \text{ be strict addition s.t. } \text{rln}(n_i + n_j) = 4
$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : L[from1 n_{i+1}] \prec L[from2 n_i]

We show $\mathbb{L}[\text{from1 } n_{i+1}] \subseteq_h \mathbb{L}[\text{from2 } n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1} {}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2} {}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_i]\hspace{0.2cm} \approx (n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}]: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\hspace{0.1cm}n_{i+1}[\textcolor{red}{^{a\mapsto 4}}])^{[1]}$

It remains to show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+2}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}] \; \sqsubseteq_h \; \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \; n_{i+1}^{[a \mapsto 4]}]$

In general for $j > 2$:

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+j}^{[4,a \mapsto 4*(j-2)]}] \; \approx (n_{i+j}^{[a \mapsto 4*(j-1)]}: \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \; n_{i+j+1}^{[4,a \mapsto 4*(j-1)]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\; n_{i+j-1}^{[a \mapsto 4*(j-1)]}] \approx (n_{i+j}^{[a \mapsto 4*j]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\; n_{i+j}^{[a \mapsto 4*j]}])^{[1]}$

Thus the claim holds.

More Examples

In the paper are more examples:

- \bullet map $(\lambda x.f(g x)) xs \preceq$ map f (map g xs)
- repeat1 $r \preceq$ repeat2 r where

repeat1 $x =$ letrec $xs = x : xs$ in xs repeat2 $x = x :$ repeat2 xs

• iterate1 $q x \preceq$ iterate2 $q x$ where

iterate1 $q x = x$: iterate1 $q (q x)$ iterate2 $g(x) = \text{map } g$ (iterate1 $g(x)$)

• fibsA 1 $2 \nless$ fibsB 1 but fibsA 1 $2 \nless$ fibsC 1 where

fibsA $x y = x :$ fibsA $y (x + y)$ fibsB $n = ($ fib $n)$: $(f$ ibsB $(n + 1))$ fibsC $n = ((fib n) : (fibsC (n+1)))^{[1]}$ fib $0 = 1$ fib $1 = 1$ fib $n =$ fib $(n-1) +$ fib $(n-2)$

Conclusion

- Proof techniques for proving improvements in the call-by-need setting
- Novel notation to explicitly **compute with shared work**
- We illustrated our techniques on interesting examples

Conclusion

- Proof techniques for proving improvements in the call-by-need setting
- Novel notation to explicitly **compute with shared work**
- We illustrated our techniques on interesting examples

Further Work

- Consider further examples and variants of the proof tools
- **Automation** of optimization and showing improvement
- **Space-improvements**