

Sharing-Aware Improvements in a Call-by-Need Functional Core Language

Manfred Schmidt-Schauß and David Sabel

Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Germany

IFL 2015, Koblenz, Germany



Reasoning on program transformations, like

```
\texttt{map}\ f\ (\texttt{map}\ g\ xs) \to \texttt{map}\ (\lambda x.f\ (g\ x))\ xs
```

- Are transformations optimizations / improvements?
 - w.r.t. the resource consumption (time / space)
 - we consider the **time consumption**, i.e. the number computation steps
- In a core language of Haskell:
 - extended polymorphically typed lambda calculus
 - with call-by-need evaluation



• [Moran & Sands, POPL'99]:

Improvement theory in a call-by-need lambda calculus

- Counting based on an abstract machine semantics
- Untyped calculus with restricted syntax (arguments are variables)
- Tick-algebra for modular reasoning on improvements
- [Schmidt-Schauß &. S., PPDP'15]:

Improvement in the call-by-need lambda calculus LR

- Counting essential reduction steps of a small-step semantics
- Untyped core language with arbitrary arguments, seq-operator
- Common subexpression elimination is an improvement



- Improvements in a polymorphically-typed calculus (called LRP)
- Modular reasoning using sharing-decorations (extend Moran & Sands' tick algebra)
- Particular focus: Improvements for list expressions and functions
- Proof techniques: Induction schemes and simulation



Types:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \in Typ & ::= a \mid (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \mid K \; \tau_1 \dots \tau_{\operatorname{ar}(K)} \\ \rho \in PTyp & ::= \tau \mid \lambda a.\rho \end{aligned}$$

Expressions:

 $u \in PExpr_{F}$::= $\Lambda a_1 \dots \Lambda a_k \lambda x.s$ $s, t \in Expr_F$::= u $x :: \rho$ $| (s \tau)$ (s t) $(seq \ s \ t)$ $(\texttt{letrec } x_1 :: \rho_1 = s_1, \dots, x_n :: \rho_n = s_n \texttt{ in } t)$ $(c_{K,i} :: \tau \ s_1 \ \dots \ s_{\operatorname{ar}(c_{K,i})})$ $(case_K \ s \ of \ (pat_{K,1} \rightarrow t_1) \dots (pat_{K,|D_K|} \rightarrow t_{|D_K|}))$ $::= (c_{K,i} ::: \tau \ x_1 ::: \tau_1 \dots x_{\operatorname{ar}(c_{K,i})} ::: \tau_{\operatorname{ar}(c_{K,i})})$ $pat_{K,i}$

The Calculus LRP: Operational Semantics



Normal Order Reduction $\xrightarrow{\text{LRP}}$

- Small-step reduction relation
- Call-by-need strategy using reduction contexts ${\cal R}$
- Several reduction rules, e.g.

$$(\mathsf{lbeta}) \quad ((\lambda x.s) \; t) o \mathsf{letrec} \; x = t \; \mathsf{in} \; s$$

(cp-in) letrec $x_1 = (\lambda y.t), \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m, Env \text{ in } C[x_m]$ $\rightarrow \text{letrec } x_1 = (\lambda y.t), \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m, Env \text{ in } C[(\lambda y.t)]$

 $(\mathsf{seq-c}) \quad (\mathsf{seq} \ v \ t) \to t \ \mathsf{if} \ v \ \mathsf{is a value}$

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(case-c)} & \text{case}_K \ (c \ t_1 \ \dots \ t_n) \dots ((c \ y_1 \ \dots \ y_n) \to s) \dots \\ & \rightarrow \text{letrec} \ \{y_i = t_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ in } s \end{array}$



Convergence

A weak head normal form (WHNF) is

- a value: $\lambda x.s$, $\Lambda a.u$, or \overrightarrow{cs} .
- letrec Env in v, where v is a value
- letrec $x_1 = c \overrightarrow{s}, \{x_i = x_{i-1}\}_{i=2}^m, Env \text{ in } x_m$

Convergence:

- $s \downarrow t$ iff $s \xrightarrow{\text{LRP},*} t \land t$ is a WHNF
- $s \downarrow \text{ iff } \exists t : s \downarrow t.$

Contextual Equivalence

For $s, t :: \rho$, $s \sim_c t$ iff for all contexts $C[\cdot :: \rho]: C[s] \downarrow \iff C[t] \downarrow$

Program transformation P is correct iff $(s \xrightarrow{P} t \implies s \sim_c t)$



Counting Essential Reductions

Improvement Relation

For $s, t :: \rho$, s improves t (written $s \leq t$) iff

- $s \sim_c t$, and
- for all $C[\cdot :: \rho]$ s.t. C[s], C[t] are closed: $\operatorname{rln}(C[s]) \leq \operatorname{rln}(C[t])$.

We write $s \approx t \iff s \preceq t \land t \preceq s$ (improvement equivalence)

Program transformation P is an **improvement** iff $s \xrightarrow{P} t \implies t \preceq s$



• for reasoning we want to express equations like

"s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t"



- for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t"
- adding work: rln-decorations $s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s \approx t^{[3]}$



- for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t"
- adding work: rln-decorations $s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s \approx t^{[3]}$
- semantics of rln-decorations: they can be encoded

$$s^{[0]} = s$$

 $s^{[n]} = (id^n s)$ where $id^n = \underbrace{(id \dots id)}_{n \text{ times}}, id = \lambda x.x$



- for reasoning we want to express equations like
- "s and t are improvement equivalent upto adding 3 steps of work to t"
- adding work: rln-decorations $s^{[n]}$ where n is nonnegative integer thus we can write $s \approx t^{[3]}$
- semantics of rln-decorations: they can be encoded

$$s^{[0]} = s$$

$$s^{[n]} = (id^{n} s) \text{ where } id^{n} = \underbrace{(id \dots id)}_{n \text{ times}}, id = \lambda x.x$$

allows to locally evaluate and remove environments, e.g.

letrec
$$x=(\lambda y.y)$$
 True,
$$y=(\texttt{seq True (seq False Nil})) \quad \approx \quad (\texttt{True}^{[1]},\texttt{Nil}^{[2]}) \\ \texttt{in } (x,y)$$



```
\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{letrec} & \textbf{\textit{x}} = (\lambda y.y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq} \; \textbf{\textit{x}} \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx \; (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2, a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} \; (\textbf{\textit{x}}, y) \end{array}
```

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a\mapsto n]}$
 - = shared work of n steps between all $[a \mapsto n]$ -labelled subexpressions



```
\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y.y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq} \; x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx \; (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2, a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} \; (x,y) \end{array}
```

- shared work-decorations: s^[a→n]
 = shared work of n steps between all ^[a→n]-labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(True^{[a \mapsto 1]}, Nil^{[a \mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$



 $\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y.y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq} \; x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx \; (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2, a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} \; (x,y) \end{array}$

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$ = shared work of n steps between all $^{[a \mapsto n]}$ -labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(True^{[a\mapsto 1]}, Nil^{[a\mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$
- we use additional reduction rules, and counting:
 - $$\begin{split} &R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R[s] \qquad &\texttt{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}]) = \texttt{rln}(R[s]) \\ &R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}] \qquad &\texttt{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}]) = 1 + \texttt{rln}(R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}]) \\ &R', s' \text{ are } R, s \text{ where all } {}^{[a\mapsto n]}\text{-decorations are replaced by } {}^{[a\mapsto n-1]} \end{split}$$



 $\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{letrec} & x = (\lambda y.y) \; \texttt{True}, \\ & y = (\texttt{seq} \; x \; (\texttt{seq False Nil})) & \approx \; (\texttt{True}^{[a \mapsto 1]}, \texttt{Nil}^{[2, a \mapsto 1]}) \\ \texttt{in} \; (x,y) \end{array}$

- shared work-decorations: $s^{[a \mapsto n]}$ = shared work of n steps between all $^{[a \mapsto n]}$ -labelled subexpressions
- semantics: not all cases can be encoded, e.g. $(True^{[a\mapsto 1]}, Nil^{[a\mapsto 1]}) \approx ?$
- we use additional reduction rules, and counting:

$$\begin{split} &R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R[s] \qquad &\texttt{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto 0]}]) = \texttt{rln}(R[s]) \\ &R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}] \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}} R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}] \qquad &\texttt{rln}(R[s^{[a\mapsto n]}]) = 1 + \texttt{rln}(R'[s'^{[a\mapsto n-1]}]) \\ &R', s' \text{ are } R, s \text{ where all } {}^{[a\mapsto n]}\text{-decorations are replaced by } {}^{[a\mapsto n-1]} \end{split}$$

 we use shared work-decorations only in surface contexts (= hole not below a λ)



Context Lemma for Improvement

If for all reduction contexts R, s.t. R[s], R[t] are closed: $\texttt{rln}(R[s]) \leq \texttt{rln}(R[t]).$ Then $s \preceq t$

Proof Tools



Context Lemma for Improvement

If for all reduction contexts R, s.t. R[s], R[t] are closed: $\texttt{rln}(R[s]) \leq \texttt{rln}(R[t]).$ Then $s \preceq t$

Theorem [Schmidt-Schauß, S., Schütz, 2008]

• If
$$s \xrightarrow{\text{LRP}, lbeta \lor case \lor seq} t$$
, then $s \approx t^{[1]}$

2 If
$$s \xrightarrow{C,a} t$$
 then

- if $a \in \{case, seq, lbeta\}: s \succeq t$
- if $a \in \{llet, lapp, lcase, lseq, cp\}: s \approx t$
- if $a \in \{gc, cpx, cpax, xch, cpcx, abs, lwas, ucp\}: s \approx t$.

 $\{gc, cpx, cpax, xch, cpcx, abs, lwas, ucp\}$ are small optimizations e.g.

(gc) letrec
$$\{x_i = s_i\}_{i=1}^n$$
, Env in $t \to$ letrec Env in t , if for all $i: x_i \notin FV(t, Env)$
(gc) letrec $x_1 = s_1, \ldots, x_n = s_n$ in $t \to t$, if for all $i: x_i \notin FV(t)$
(ucp1) letrec $Env, x = t$ in $S[x] \to$ letrec Env in $S[t]$
where $x \notin FV(S, Env, t, r)$ and S is a surface context

Computation Rules

Proposition

Let S be a surface context, then for all expressions s

For rln-decorations:

•
$$(s^{[k_1]})^{[k_2]} \approx s^{[k_1+k_2]}$$

- $s^{[0]} \approx s$
- $S[s^{[k]}] \approx S[s^{[k]}]$, if S is strict $(S[\bot] \sim_c \bot)$
- $S[s^{[k]}] \preceq S[s]^{[k]}$
- For sharing-decorations:

•
$$(s^{[a \mapsto n]})^{[a \mapsto n]} \approx s^{[a \mapsto n]}$$

• $S[s^{[a \mapsto n]}] \approx S[s]^{[a \mapsto n]}$, if S is strict $(S[\bot] \sim_c \bot)$
• $S[s^{[a \mapsto n]}] \preceq S[s]^{[a \mapsto n]}$
• $S[s_1^{[a \mapsto m]}, \dots, s_n^{[a \mapsto m]}] \approx S[s_1, \dots, s_n]^{[m]}$ if some hole is strict.
• $S[s_1^{[a \mapsto m]}, \dots, s_n^{[a \mapsto m]}] \preceq S[s_1, \dots, s_n]^{[m]}$



Theorem (An Induction Scheme)

Let S_1, S_2 be surface contexts and

- $S_1[x] \sim_c S_2[x]$ for a fresh variable x
- $S_1[\bot] \preceq S_2[\bot]$
- $S_1[\texttt{Nil}] pprox r^{[m]}$ and $S_2[\texttt{Nil}] pprox r^{[m']}$ with $m \leq m'$

• For fresh variables x and xs:

•
$$(S_1[x:xs]) \approx (x:S_1[xs])^{[m]}$$

• $(S_2[x:xs]) \approx (x:S_2[xs])^{[m']}$

with $m \leq m'$.

Then for all expressions s:

letrec
$$x = s$$
 in $S_1[x] \preceq$ letrec $x = s$ in $S_2[x]$.



Proposition

$$\mathbb{L}[(xs + (ys + zs))] \preceq \mathbb{L}[((xs + ys) + zs)]$$

Use $S_1 := \mathbb{L}[([\cdot] + (ys + zs))]$ and $S_2 = \mathbb{L}[(([\cdot] + ys) + zs)]$, and apply the induction scheme:

- $S_1[x] \sim_c S_2[x]$ (by standard inductive reasoning on \sim_c .)
- $S_1[\bot] \sim_c \bot \sim_c S_2[\bot]$
- $S_1[\mathrm{Nil}] \approx (ys + \!\!\!+ zs)^{[3]}$ and $S_2[\mathrm{Nil}] \approx (ys + \!\!\!+ zs)^{[3]}$
- $S_1[x:xs] \approx (x:S_1[xs])^{[3]}$ and $S_2[x:xs] \approx (x:S_2[xs])^{[6]}$.



Simulation $\sqsubseteq_h \subseteq \{(s,t) \mid s,t \text{ are closed}, s,t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

• If $s \sim_c \perp \sim_c t$, then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.



Simulation $\sqsubseteq_h \subseteq \{(s,t) \mid s,t \text{ are closed}, s,t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

- If $s \sim_c \bot \sim_c t$, then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.
- 2 If $s \approx \operatorname{Nil}^{[m]}$, $t \approx \operatorname{Nil}^{[m']}$ and $m \leq m'$, then $s \sqsubseteq_h t$.



Simulation $\sqsubseteq_{h} \subseteq \{(s,t) \mid s,t \text{ are closed}, s,t :: List(\tau), s \sim_{c} t\}$ defined coinductively:

If s ~_c ⊥ ~_c t, then s ⊑_h t.
If s ≈ Ni1^[m], t ≈ Ni1^[m'] and m ≤ m', then s ⊑_h t.
If s ≤ (s₁^[m₁,a→m₂] : s₂^[m₃])^[m₄] and (t₁^[m'₁,a→m'₂] : t₂^[m'₃])^[m'₄] ≤ t, where
m_i ≤ m'_i
s₁ ≤ t₁ where s₁, t₁ are decoration-free, and
s₂ ⊑_h t₂
s₂, t₂ may contain further sharing decorations.
Then s ⊑_h t.



Simulation $\sqsubseteq_h \subseteq \{(s,t) \mid s,t \text{ are closed}, s,t :: List(\tau), s \sim_c t\}$ defined coinductively:

Theorem

If $s \sqsubseteq_h t$, then also $s \preceq t$.



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x. (\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec}\ y = (x\texttt{+}1)\ \texttt{in}\ y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in}\ [\cdot] \\ \texttt{.et}\ n_i \ \texttt{denote the}\ i^{th} \ \texttt{number and } \texttt{+} \ \texttt{be strict addition s.t.}\ \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+2}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+2}{}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (\underline{n_{i+1}}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+2}{}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+2}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{L} &:= \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x. (x:(\texttt{from1}\ (x\texttt{+}1))) \\ & \texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x\texttt{+}1) \texttt{ in } y:(\texttt{from2}\ y)) \\ & \texttt{in } [\cdot] \\ \texttt{Let } n_i \texttt{ denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be strict addition s.t. } \texttt{rln}(n_i\texttt{+}n_j) = 4 \end{split}$$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

We show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \sqsubseteq_h \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+2}{}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$

It remains to show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+2}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}] \sqsubseteq_h \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}^{[a \mapsto 4]}]$



$$\mathbb{L} := \texttt{letrec from1} = \lambda x.(x : (\texttt{from1} (x+1)))$$
$$\texttt{from2} = \lambda x.(\texttt{letrec } y = (x+1) \texttt{ in } y : (\texttt{from2} y))$$
$$\texttt{in } [\cdot]$$
$$\texttt{et } x. \texttt{denote the } i^{th} \texttt{ number and } \texttt{+} \texttt{ be ctrict addition st. } \texttt{rln}(n, \texttt{+}n)$$

Let n_i denote the i^{th} number and + be strict addition s.t. $\mathtt{rln}(n_i \textrm{+} n_j) = 4$

Proposition

For all numbers n_i, n_{i+1} : $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \preceq \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

We show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+1}] \sqsubseteq_h \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i]$

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+1}] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a \mapsto 0]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+2}{}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_i] \approx (n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+1}{}^{[a\mapsto 4]}])^{[1]}$

It remains to show $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1}\ n_{i+2}{}^{[4,a \mapsto 0]}] \ \sqsubseteq_h \ \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2}\ n_{i+1}{}^{[a \mapsto 4]}]$

In general for $j \ge 2$:

- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+j}^{[4,a\mapsto 4*(j-2)]}] \approx (n_{i+j}^{[a\mapsto 4*(j-1)]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from1} \ n_{i+j+1}^{[4,a\mapsto 4*(j-1)]}])^{[1]}$
- $\mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+j-1}^{[a \mapsto 4*(j-1)]}] \approx (n_{i+j}^{[a \mapsto 4*j]} : \mathbb{L}[\texttt{from2} \ n_{i+j}^{[a \mapsto 4*j]}])^{[1]}$

Thus the claim holds.

More Examples

GOETHE DI JNIVERSITÄT RANKFURT AM MAIN

In the paper are more examples:

- map $(\lambda x.f \ (g \ x)) \ xs \ \preceq \ \operatorname{map} \ f \ (\operatorname{map} \ g \ xs)$
- repeat1 $r \leq$ repeat2 r where

repeat1 x =letrec xs = x : xs in xsrepeat2 x = x : repeat2 xs

• iterate1 $g \ x \preceq$ iterate2 $g \ x$ where

iterate1 $g \ x = x$: iterate1 $g \ (g \ x)$ iterate2 $g \ x = map \ g$ (iterate1 $g \ x$)

• fibsA $1 \ 2 \not\leq$ fibsB 1 but fibsA $1 \ 2 \leq$ fibsC 1 where

fibsA $x \ y = x$:fibsA $y \ (x + y)$ fibsB $n = (fib \ n) : (fibsB \ (n + 1))$ fibsC $n = ((fib \ n) : (fibsC \ (n + 1)))^{[1]}$ fib 0 = 1 fib 1 = 1 fib $n = fib \ (n - 1) + fib(n - 2)$



Conclusion

- Proof techniques for proving improvements in the call-by-need setting
- Novel notation to explicitly compute with shared work
- We illustrated our techniques on interesting examples



Conclusion

- Proof techniques for proving improvements in the call-by-need setting
- Novel notation to explicitly compute with shared work
- We illustrated our techniques on interesting examples

Further Work

- Consider further examples and variants of the proof tools
- Automation of optimization and showing improvement
- Space-improvements